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#### Abstract

A cladistic analysis of the 11 species of the antrodiaetid trapdoor spider genus Aliatypus generally supports the phylogeny proposed by Coyle (1974) but resolves the polytomy of the four erebus group species and suggests that $A$. thompsoni is the sister of the five californicus group species.


So many advances in phylogenetic analysis have been made since I proposed the first phylogeny for the Californian trapdoor spider genus Aliatypus (Coyle 1974) that I believe it is now appropriate to test that phylogeny with a more rigorous cladistic analysis. Moreover, I have discovered several phylogenetically useful morphological and behavioral characters not used in that first analysis.

Relationships of Aliatypus. - Coyle (1971, 1974) believed that the monophyly of the family Antrodiaetidae was arguable and even suggested that the mecicobothriids, rather than Antrodiaetus plus Atypoides, might be the sister group of Aliatypus. Five putative synapomorphies have been proposed to support the hypothesis that the family Antrodiaetidae (composed of Antrodiaetus, Atypoides, and Aliatypus) is monophyletic: 1) an inner conductor sclerite (Coyle 1971; Eskov \& Zonshtein 1990), 2) absence of teeth on the median tarsal claw (Raven 1985; Goloboff 1993), 3) fovea a non-transverse or longitudinal pit or absent (Raven 1985; Goloboff 1993), 4) posterior lateral spinnerets (PLS) with three articles (Eskov \& Zonshtein 1990), and 5) rastellum (Goloboff 1993). Synapomorphy 4 must be rejected because most atypids, which are generally held to be the sister group of the antrodiaetids (Raven 1985; Coddington \& Levi 1991; Goloboff 1993), have three PLS articles, and those atypid species with four articles as adults have three in an early instar (Schwendinger 1990). The other four putative synapomorphies appear in other families as well; character states 2 and 5 are each found in several other families, state 3 may also occur in the mecicobothriids and some diplurids, and state 1 is found in some mecicobothriid species. Therefore, although all important studies of my-
galomorph family relationships within the last decade agree that the antrodiaetids are monophyletic, the few proposed synapomorphies are all homoplasies. Another possible antrodiaetid autapomorphy is the presence in all three genera of a single spigot on each PLS of the first instar spiderling (Bond 1994; Coyle \& Icenogle 1994; Coyle pers. obs.). Yoshikura (1958) remarked that there are no spigots on first instar Atypus karschi spiderlings, but further study of this character in atypids and other taxa is required to assess its phylogenetic value.

The current consensus is that Antrodiaetus plus Atypoides is the sister group of Aliatypus (Raven 1985; Eskov \& Zonshtein 1990; Goloboff 1993). The monophyly of Antrodiaetus and Atypoides is supported by the following three synapomorphies: 1) fovea longitudinal; 2) slight or pronounced anterodorsal process on male chelicerae; 3) inner conductor sclerite strongly developed, surrounds embolus, and its tip distinctly separate from tip of outer conductor sclerite. The status of another synapomorphy cited by Raven (1985), the reduction of the anterior lateral spinnerets (ALS), is problematic. The ALS of Atypoides species appear to be as well developed as those of atypids; at least some atypids lack ALS spigots while many individuals of Atypoides riversi have one ALS spigot (Coyle 1968).

Monophyly of Aliatypus. -- Whether one uses the atypids alone (Raven 1985; Coddington \& Levi 1991; Goloboff 1993) or the atypids plus mecicobothriids (Eskov \& Zonshtein 1990) as the outgroup of antrodiaetids, several synapomorphies support the monophyly of Aliatypus as defined by Coyle (1974): 1) two rows of cheliceral teeth, one prolateral and one retrolateral; 2) male pedipalp patella elongate; 3) no pseudo-segmen-
tation on male tarsi; 4) trapdoor entrance; and 5) pendulous egg sac which occludes burrow lumen.

## CHARACTERS AND METHODS

Although nine of the 18 morphological characters used in this analysis were employed in Coyle's (1974) earlier phylogenetic analysis, some of these have been redefined and quantified. The nine morphological characters used here for the first time were chosen after careful searching through the diagnoses and quantitative character tables in Coyle (1974) for character states which distinguish two or more species from the rest. Twelve characters are either measurements or diagnostically useful ratios derived from measurements and meristic characters. The five behavioral/ecological characters are from Coyle \& Icenogle (1994). Characters autapomorphic for single species were not included in the analysis since they provide no information on relationships. MacClade version 3.0 (Maddison \& Maddison 1992) was used to analyze character evolution and find the shortest trees.
Character states.-I have used Antrodiaetus plus Atypoides as the outgroup to determine character state polarities. In the list of characters that follows, the first state mentioned for each character is the plesiomorphic state (exhibited by both Antrodiaetus and Atypoides), except for characters 21-23 where the polarity is unknown. Every character is treated as unordered except for four of the multistate characters ( $4,10,12$, and 14), which were ordered. The other two multistate characters ( 9 and 13) were not ordered because each of the two derived states is as similar or more similar to the ancestral state than to the other derived state. (If these two characters are ordered, the shortest tree is longer but its form is unchanged.) See Coyle (1974) for definitions of measurement characters and for drawings of many of these character states. CL is carapace length, AMD the transverse diameter of an anterior median eye pupil, PSL the maximum diameter of a posterior sigillum, PSS the distance between the posterior sigilla, CMT the number of microteeth on a chelicera, PTL palpal tibia length, PTT palpal tibia maximum diameter, IFL femur I length, ITL tibia I length, IML metatarsus I length, ITarL tarsus I length, and IVTL tibia IV length.

1) Outer conductor sclerite (OCS) of the palpal organ serrate; not serrate. 2) Receptaculum seminis of palpal organ loosely looped; tightly looped.
2) Spermathecal stalks straight; sinuous. 4) Male palpal tibia not expanded distally; expanded distally (mean PTT/PTL $=0.18-0.27$ ); greatly expanded distally ( $0.39-0.40$ ). 5) Live in places with cold (snowy) winters; mild (rainy) winters. 6) Outer conductor sclerite (OCS) without keel; keel present. 7) Female tibia IV proportionally long (mean CL/IVTL $=2.52-2.67$ ); proportionally short (2.91-3.22) (Fig. 1). 8) Female femur I proportionally long (mean $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{IFL}=1.39-1.56$ ); proportionally short (1.64-1.88) (Fig. 2). 9) Posterior sigilla small and far apart (mean PSL/PSS $=0.22-0.42$ ); large and moderately close ( $0.82-$ 1.30); large and very close (2.13) (Fig. 3). 10) Male tibia I proportionally long (mean CL/ITL $=1.38-1.66$ ); proportionally short (1.81-1.85); proportionally very short (2.16-2.18) (Fig. 4). 11) Cheliceral microteeth (CMT) relatively numerous (mean CL/CMT $=0.32-0.52$ ); relatively few (0.88-0.94). 12) Silk lining of burrow thin; moderately thick; thick. 13) Male metatarsus I of medium length (mean $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{IML}=1.41-1.70$ ); proportionally long (1.14); proportionally short (1.92-1.95) (Fig. 5). 14) Spermathecal stalks very short relative to bulb diameter; medium length relative to bulb diameter; very long relative to bulb diameter. 15) Spermathecal stalks as wide distally as at base; narrowed distally (tapered). 16) Length of male metatarsus I short relative to tarsus (mean IML/ITarL $=1.61-1.89$ ); long relative to tarsus (2.10-2.14). 17) Inner conductor sclerite (ICS) without keel; keel present. 18) Door relatively thin and semi-rigid; relatively thick and rigid. 19) Body size large (mean female $\mathrm{CL}=$ 5.7-7.8); small (3.8-4.4). 20) Anterior median eyes proportionally small (mean female CL/AMD $=39-51$ ); proportionally large (28-33). 21) Door proportionally broad (mean door shape index $=$ 1.42-1.66); proportionally narrow (1.18-1.28) (polarity not assigned because outgroup does not make trapdoors) (Fig. 6). Door shape index $=$ width/height. 22) Usually inhabit gentle slopes; steep slopes (polarity not assigned because outgroup exhibits both states). 23) Distance from base of embolus to tip of conductor (PED) relatively large (mean $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{PED}=3.7-4.3$ ); relatively small (5.1-5.3) (polarity not assigned because PED not recorded for outgroup).

The distribution of these character states among the species of Aliatypus is shown in Fig. 7.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The shortest tree (length (TL) $=42$, consistency index $(C I)=0.69$, retention index $(R I)=0.77$ )
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Figures 1-3.-Diagrams comparing quantitative character values of Aliatypus species. Horizontal bar represents the range, vertical bar the mean, and box the standard deviation (if sample size greater than 5). Sample size given next to species name. 1, female CL/IVTL; 2, female CL/IFL; 3, female PSL/PSS.
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Figures 4-6.- Diagrams comparing quantitative character values of Aliatypus species. Horizontal bar represents the range, vertical bar the mean, and box the standard deviation (if sample size greater than 5). Sample size given next to species name. 4, male CL/ITL; 5 , male CL/IML; 6 , female door shape index (width/minimun diameter of door).
23. CL/PED large vs small
22. gentle vs. steep slopes
21. door broad vs. narrow
20. AMEs relatively large
19. small body
18. door relat. thick and rigid
17. ICS keel present
16. M IML/ITarL high
15. spermath. stalks tapered
14. stalk $L / b u l b D$ med. (large)
13. M mtar. I rel. long (short)
12. silk lin. mod. thick (very)
11. relatively few CMT 10. M tib. I rel. short (very) 9. post. sig. large (\& very close)
8. F fem. I relatively short
7. F tib. IV relatively short
6. OCS keel present
5. live where winters are mild
4. M p. tib. expand. dist. (very)
3. spermathecal stalks sinuous
2. recep. sem. tightly looped

1. OCS not serrate


Figure 7.-Most parsimonious cladogram of Aliatypus species. Apomorphic states described and designated by black boxes, plesiomorphic states by open boxes. $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female. For triple-state characters (4, 9, 10, and 12-14), the first described apomorphic state (not in parentheses) is designated by grey (stipples), and the other apomorphic state (in parentheses) by black. For those characters of unknown polarity (21-23), the first state described is designated by vertical lines, the second by horizontal lines.
without polytomies is presented in Fig. 7. That this is the shortest tree was independently confirmed by N. Platnick and P. Goloboff on Hennig86 (Farris 1988) and NONA (Goloboff pers. comm.), respectively. The several next-most parsimonious non-polytomous cladograms (TL $=43, \mathrm{CI}=0.67, \mathrm{RI}=0.75$ ) have the same form as the shortest tree except for various rearrangements within the clade containing $A$. thompsoni
and the five californicus group species (A. californicus, $A$. gnomus, $A$. aquilonius, $A$. janus, and A. isolatus).

Aliatypus gulosus is clearly the most plesiomorphic species, with all ten of the other species forming a sister clade defined by synapomorphies 1-4. The monophyly of the erebus group clade (A. erebus, A. trophonius, A. plutonis, and A. torridus) is supported by synapomorphies 6-

10 and by shared states of the non-polarized characters 21 and 22 . Of these putative synapomorphies, only 9,10 , and 22 are unambiguously synapomorphic when character state changes are optimized (by parsimoniously assigning states to the internal nodes of the tree). The sister group relationship of $A$. erebus and $A$. trophonius is supported by synapomorphies 4, 10, 12, and 13, and that of A. plutonis and $A$. torridus by synapomorphy 11 .
The monophyly of the californicus group is much less strongly supported than that of the erebus group. Only character 7 provides a putative synapomorphy (optimization indicates it is a reversal). Within this clade, A. aquilonius, $A$. janus, and $A$. isolatus are united by synapomorphy 15 , and $A$. janus and $A$. isolatus are united by synapomorphies 5 and 16 , synapomorphy 5 (living in habitats with cold winters) being a primitive antrodiaetid trait which evidently reappeared in the immediate common ancestor of these two species. The sister-group relationship of $A$. californicus and A. gnomus is supported by synapomorphy 17.
The primary unresolved issue in the original Aliatypus phylogeny (Coyle 1974) was the relationship of $A$. thompsoni. The current analysis indicates that $A$. thompsoni is more closely related to the californicus group than to the erebus group. Synapomorphy 14 (long spermathecal stalks relative to bulb size) and unpolarized character 21 (door shape) support this hypothesis (reversal synapomorphy 8 is ambiguous). Only synapomorphy 6 (synapomorphy 7 is ambiguous) supports the alternate hypothesis that A. thompsoni is more closely related to the erebus group. Clearly the relatively long male metatarsus I (character 13) is autapomorphic for $A$. thompsoni, as are two character states not used in this analysis: fovea shallow or absent, and the presence of only short macrosetae and strongly adpressed background setae on the tibia and metatarsus of male leg I. I also interpret this species' especially close-spaced posterior sigilla (character 9) to be an autapomorphy, even though similar in size to the proportionally large posterior sigilla of the erebus group species.
It is important to point out that changes in the definitions and assumptions for only a few characters would favor the alternative hypothesis that A. thompsoni is sister to the erebus group. If character 9 is reinterpreted to comprise only two states (posterior sigilla small and far apart $v s$. large and close) and if character 14 is treated as unordered,
then the two hypotheses (A. thompsoni is sister to the californicus group, or to the erebus group) are equally parsimonious ( $\mathrm{TL}=41, \mathrm{CI}=0.68$, $\mathrm{RI}=0.76$ ). If, in addition to these changes, behavioral character 21 (door shape) were unknown, the single shortest resulting tree would place $A$. thompsoni as the sister of the erebus group.
The shortest tree (Fig. 7) contains 11 homoplasies (in characters 6-8, 14, 16, 18-20, and 23), four of which involve $A$. thompsoni (apomorphies $6,7,16$, and 18). The most frequent homoplasy is the evolution of small body size (character 19), which has evidently arisen in three different lineages.
Although I was careful to select only those quantitative characters with marked discontinuities separating clusters of species, the designation of character states in one of these, character 10, is somewhat arbitrary (Fig. 4). Fortunately, alternate character coding which could result from this arbitrariness (assigning $A$. thompsoni, or A. thompsoni and A. gulosus, to a third derived character state defined as "male tibia I especially long") does not change the form of the shortest tree, but only lengthens it.
In conclusion, this cladistic analysis supports, in general, the original phylogeny (Coyle 1974), which was developed via a semi-cladistic analysis using fewer characters. The new phylogeny is similar to the old except that it resolves the polytomy in the erebus group and roots $A$. thompsoni to the stem of the californicus group.
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